

ISE789 - Stochastic Programming
Assignment # 2 - Due September 30, 2010

Note: When answering assignment questions be sure to show all of your work. Points will be allocated for each step of the solution process.

Question 1 (8 Points): Consider the following random linear program:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, \xi) = & \min y_1(\omega) + y_2(\omega), \\ \text{s.t. :} & y_1(\omega) - y_2(\omega) = x - \xi, \\ & y_1(\omega), y_2(\omega) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where $\xi \sim U(0, 1)$ and ω indexes outcomes of ξ and $x \geq 0$. Let $y_1^*(\omega)$ and $y_2^*(\omega)$ be the optimal solution given some x , and some outcome, ω , of random variable ξ .

- a. Write $y_1(\omega)^*$, $y_2(\omega)^*$, $Q(x, \xi)$, as a function of x and ξ .
- b. Solve the *distribution problem* to find, $F_Q(\cdot)$, the cumulative distribution function for $Q(x, \xi)$.
- c. Use $F_Q(\cdot)$ to find $x^* = \arg \min E[Q(x, \xi)]$.

Answer: The purpose of this question was to illustrate the difficulty of solving the *distribution problem* in stochastic programming.

Part (a): The optimal solution can be written as;

$$y_1(\omega)^* = (x - \xi)^+, \quad y_2(\omega)^* = (\xi - x)^+, \quad Q(x, \xi) = (x - \xi)^+ + (\xi - x)^+$$

Part (b): The *distribution problem* involves finding the cumulative distribution function (cdf) for the optimal solution to the random LP, $Q(x, \xi)$. We define the cdf as

$$F_Q(a) = P(Q(x, \xi) \leq a) \tag{1}$$

$$= P((x - \xi)^+ + (\xi - x)^+ \leq a) \tag{2}$$

$$= P(x - a \leq \xi \leq x + a). \tag{3}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Given that $x^* \in [0, 1]$ we can split the cdf into two parts conditional on x as follows:

If $x \leq 1/2$

$$F_Q(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a < 0 \\ 2a & 0 \leq a \leq x \\ x + a & x \leq a \leq 1 - x \\ 1 & a > 1 - x \end{cases}$$

If $x \geq 1/2$

$$F_Q(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a < 0 \\ 2a & 0 \leq a \leq 1 - x \\ x + a & 1 - x \leq a \leq x \\ 1 & a > x \end{cases}$$

Part (c): It follows that the expectation can be written terms of $F_Q(Q)$ as follows:

For $x \leq 1/2$

$$E[Q] = 2 \int_0^x Q dF_Q(Q) + \int_x^{1-x} Q dF_Q(Q) = \frac{(1-x)^2}{2} + \frac{x^2}{2}$$

For $x \geq 1/2$

$$E[Q] = 2 \int_0^{1-x} Q dF_Q(Q) + \int_{1-x}^x Q dF_Q(Q) = \frac{(1-x)^2}{2} + \frac{x^2}{2}$$

Thus $E[Q]$ is the same on both regions of x , and it is continuous and differentiable. Taking the second derivative the minimum is reached at $x^* = 1/2$.

Question 2 (3 Points): For a 2-stage stochastic linear program with fixed recourse prove that $Q(x, \xi)$ is concave in the second stage cost coefficients, q .

Answer:

Given two cost vectors q_1 and q_2 let:

$$f(q_1) = \min\{q_1 y \mid Wy = h - Tx, y \geq 0\} = q_1 y_1^*$$

$$f(q_2) = \min\{q_2 y \mid Wy = h - Tx, y \geq 0\} = q_2 y_2^*$$

$$f(q_\lambda) = \min\{(\lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda)q_2)y \mid Wy = h - Tx\} = q_\lambda y_\lambda^*$$

for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. For $f(\cdot)$ to be concave in q the following is a necessary and sufficient condition:

$$f(q_\lambda) \geq \lambda f(q_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(q_2), \forall \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

From optimality conditions we have that $q_1 y_1^* \leq q_1 y_\lambda^*$ and $q_2 y_2^* \leq q_2 y_\lambda^*$. It follows that:

$$\lambda q_1 y_\lambda^* + (1 - \lambda)q_2 y_\lambda^* = f(q_\lambda) \geq \lambda q_1 y_1^* + (1 - \lambda)q_2 y_2^* = \lambda f(q_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(q_2)$$

and therefore $f(\cdot)$ is concave in q .

Question 3 (3 Points): Prove that the intersection of two convex sets is a convex set.

Answer: Given two sets A and B if $x^1, x^2 \in A \cap B$ then for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ it follows that $\lambda x^1 + (1 - \lambda)x^2 \in A$ and $\lambda x^1 + (1 - \lambda)x^2 \in B$ since A and B are both convex. Therefore $A \cap B$ is convex since any convex combination of x^1 and x^2 lies in $A \cap B$.

Question 4 (5 Points): Let the second stage of a stochastic program be

$$\begin{aligned} & \min 2y_1(\omega) + y_2(\omega) \\ \text{s.t. : } & y_1(\omega) - y_2(\omega) \leq 2 - \xi x_1, \\ & y_2(\omega) \leq x_2, \\ & y_1(\omega), y_2(\omega) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Find $K_2(\xi)$ and K_2 for:

a. $\xi \sim U(0, 1)$

b. $\xi \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda), \lambda > 0$

What properties do you expect for K_2 ?

Answer:

For part (a) we have

$$K_2(\xi) = \{x_1, x_2 \mid x_2 \geq 0, x_2 - \xi x_1 \geq -2\}$$

It follows that

$$K_2 = \cap_{\xi} K_2(\xi) = \{x_1, x_2 \mid x_2 \geq 0, x_2 - x_1 \geq -2\}$$

Given that the stochastic program has fixed recourse and ξ has finite second moments, from Theorem 3, p. 88, of Birge and Louveaux, it follows that K_2 and K_2^P coincide.

For part (b) we have the same $K_2(\xi)$ as above. However, in this case the support is unbounded. As $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ the following must hold

$$x_2 - \xi x_1 \geq -2$$

This implies that $x_1 \leq 0$. Therefore K_2 can be written as:

$$K_2 = \{x_1, x_2 \mid x_2 \geq 0, x_1 \leq 0\}$$

Given that the stochastic program has fixed recourse and ξ has finite second moments, from Theorem 3, p. 88, of Birge and Louveaux, it follows that K_2 and K_2^P coincide.

Question 5 (6 Points): Consider the following second stage recourse problem:

$$Q(x, \xi) = \min\{\xi y \mid y \geq \xi, y \geq x\} \quad (5)$$

Assume $x \geq 0$. Let ξ have probability density function $f(\xi) = 2/\xi^3, \xi \geq 1$.

Show that $K_2^P \neq K_2$. What sufficient condition for coincidence of K_2^P and K_2 does this distribution violate?

Answer: For any particular ξ $Q(x, \xi)$ has optimal solution $y = \min(x, \xi)$. Therefore $K_2(\xi) = \mathbb{R}$. However, $E[Q(x, \xi)] = \infty, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., the recourse function is not bounded and K_2 is empty. Therefore K_2 and K_2^P do not coincide. The sufficient condition that is violated is the condition of finite second moments since $E[\xi^2] = \infty$.

Question 6 (8 Points): Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\min\{x_1 + 2x_2 + E_{\xi}[\max\{(\xi_1 - x_1), 0\} + \max\{\max\{(\xi_1 - x_1), 0\} + \xi_2 - x_2, 0\} + \quad (6)$$

$$\max\{(x_1 - \xi_1), 0\} + \max\{(x_2 - \xi_2), 0\}] \mid x_1 + x_2 \geq 1, x_1, x_2 \geq 0\} \quad (7)$$

where ξ_1, ξ_2 are random variables.

- a. Show that it can be formulated as a two stage stochastic linear program with fixed recourse. Use the notation of chapter 3, Birge and Louveaux, i.e., write c, q, T, W, h .
- b. Assuming ξ_1 and ξ_2 have a discrete distribution with outcomes $\{1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3\}$ occurring with equal probability, compute the Value of the Stochastic Solution (VSS) and the expected value of perfect information (EVPI)?
- c. Does the formulation from part (a) correspond to any of the following: complete recourse, relatively complete recourse, or simple recourse?

Answer:

Part (a): The above optimization problem can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x_1 + 2x_2 + E_\xi[Q(x, \xi)] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, \xi) = \quad & \min y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_1 \geq \xi_1 - x_1 \\ & y_2 - y_1 \geq \xi_2 - x_2 \\ & y_3 \geq x_1 - \xi_1 \\ & y_4 \geq x_2 - \xi_2 \\ & y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Part (b): $VSS = EEV - RP$ and $EVPI = RP - WS$. Therefore we need to compute RP , EV , EEV , and WS . RP is obtained by solving the deterministic equivalent problem (with $k = 1, \dots, 25$ scenarios) using CPLEX:

$$\begin{aligned} RP = \min \quad & x_1 + 2x_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{25} (1/25)(y_1^k + y_2^k + y_3^k + y_4^k) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \\ & y_1^k + x_1 \geq \xi_1^k, \forall k \\ & y_2^k - y_1^k + x_2 \geq \xi_2^k \forall k \\ & y_3^k - x_1 \geq -\xi_1^k \forall k \\ & y_4^k - x_2 \geq -\xi_2^k \forall k \\ & y_1^k, y_2^k, y_3^k, y_4^k, x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \forall k \end{aligned}$$

which yields $z^* = 4.8$. EV is obtained by setting $\xi_1 = 2, \xi_2 = 2$ and solving:

$$\begin{aligned}
EV = \min \quad & x_1 + 2x_2 + y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4 \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \\
& y_1 + x_1 \geq 2 \\
& y_2 - y_1 + x_2 \geq 2 \\
& y_3 - x_1 \geq -2 \\
& y_4 - x_2 \geq -2 \\
& y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

which has optimal solution $x_1^* = 1, x_2^* = 0$. EEV is obtained by fixing $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0$ in RP and solving:

$$\begin{aligned}
EEV = \min \quad & (1) + 2(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{25} (1/25)(y_1^k + y_2^k + y_3^k + y_4^k) \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & y_1^k \geq \xi_1^k - 1 \quad \forall k \\
& y_2^k - y_1^k \geq \xi_2^k \quad \forall k \\
& y_3^k \geq -\xi_1^k + 1 \quad \forall k \\
& y_4^k \geq -\xi_2^k \quad \forall k \\
& y_1^k, y_2^k, y_3^k, y_4^k, x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \quad \forall k
\end{aligned}$$

which yields $EEV = 4.9$ and $VSS = EEV - RP = 4.9 - 4.8 = 0.1$. WS is obtained by solving:

$$\begin{aligned}
WS = \min \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{25} (1/25)(2x_1^k + 3x_2^k + y_1^k + y_2^k + y_3^k + y_4^k) \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & x_1^k + x_2^k \geq 1 \\
& y_1^k + x_1^k \geq \xi_1^k \\
& y_2^k - y_1^k + x_2^k \geq \xi_2^k \\
& y_3^k - x_1^k \geq -\xi_1^k \\
& y_4^k - x_2^k \geq -\xi_2^k \\
& y_1^k, y_2^k, y_3^k, y_4^k, x_1^k, x_2^k \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

which has optimal solution $WS = 4$. Therefore $EVPI = 4.8 - 4 = 0.8$

Note: there were alternative solutions to RP leading to different values of VSS and $EVPI$.

Part (c): This problem has relatively complete recourse since $K_1 \subset K_2$, and complete recourse since $\text{pos}(W) = \mathbb{R}^4$. This problem does not have simple recourse, i.e., the recourse matrix, W , is not separable.